



Super– Kamiokande: Atmospheric Neutrinos

Christopher Mauger ICHEP, Jul 2002

ICHEP 2002 – Mauger – p.1/1

Introduction **Origin of Atmospheric Neutrinos Neutrino Oscillation** Full SK I - 1489 days $u_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ Search for ν_{τ}

- \blacktriangleright $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ VS. $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$
- Sterile Neutrino Admixture
- Three Active Flavor Oscillations

Origin of Atmospheric Neutrinos





- Primary cosmic-rays + atmospheric nuclei → hadronic showers
- Showers → many π^{\pm}

•
$$\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu})$$

- Expect $\nu_{\mu}/\nu_{e} \sim 2$
- Absolute flux uncertainty $20\% \Rightarrow \text{measure } \frac{\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}}{\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e}$

Two-flavor neutrino oscillations. $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E}).$

Super-Kamiokande





- zinc mine Kamioka, Japan
- 1000 m rock overburden
 2700 meters water
 equivalent
- 50 kton water Cherenkov detector
- 22.5 kton fiducial volume
- 11,146 inner photo-multiplier tubes (PMTs)
- 1885 outer photo-multiplier tubes (PMTs)
- cosmic-ray μ rate 2.7 per second

SK Atmospheric ν **Detection**





Event type	E_{ν}
FC ($ u_e$'s and $ u_\mu$'s)	$\sim 2~GeV$
PC (> $98\%~ u_{\mu}$)	$\sim 10\;GeV$
up-going stopping μ	$\sim 10~GeV$
up-going through μ	$\sim 100~GeV$

FC and PC

 $-1 < \cos \theta < 1$

• upward-going μ 's $-1 < \cos \theta < 0$

Reconstruction





For FC and PC events, we measure:

- position
- number of rings
- momentum of each ring
- **particle ID** (μ -like or e-like)



Evis < 1.33 GeV $p_e > 100 \ MeV/c$ $p_{\mu} > 200 \ MeV/c$

	DATA	MC(Honda)	MC(Bartol)
1 Ring <i>e</i> -like	3266	3081.0	3032.1
1 Ring μ -like	3181	4703.9	4564.6
Multi-Ring	2457	2985.6	2952.6
Total	8904	10770.5	10549.2

 $\frac{(\mu/e)_{DATA}}{(\mu/e)_{MC}} = 0.638 \pm 0.016(stat.) \pm 0.050(sys.) \text{ (Honda).}$ $\frac{(\mu/e)_{DATA}}{(\mu/e)_{MC}} = 0.647 \pm 0.016(stat.) \pm 0.051(sys.) \text{ (Bartol).}$



$\textbf{Multi-GeV} \rightarrow \textbf{Evis} > 1.33 \; GeV$

	DATA	MC(Honda)	MC(Bartol)	
1 Ring <i>e</i> -like	772	707.8	734.2	
1 Ring μ -like	664	968.2	967.8	
Multi-Ring	1532	1903.5	1972.3	
Total (Multi-GeV)	2968	3579.4	3674.3	
PC (assumed μ -like)	913	1230.0	1297.5	
(u/z) 0.000				

 $\frac{(\mu/e)_{DATA}}{(\mu/e)_{MC}} = 0.658 \pm \frac{0.030}{0.028} (stat.) \pm 0.050 (sys.) \text{ (Honda).}$ $\frac{(\mu/e)_{DATA}}{(\mu/e)_{MC}} = 0.662 \pm \frac{0.030}{0.028} (stat.) \pm 0.050 (sys.) \text{ (Bartol).}$

Zenith Angle Distributions





Null hypothesis $u_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ fit to these data

$\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ Oscillation





No oscillation $\chi^2_{min} = 456.5/170$ d.o.f.)

 $\begin{array}{l}
\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau} \\
\text{Best fit:} \\
\Delta m^{2} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} eV^{2}, \sin^{2} 2\theta = 1.0 \\
\chi^{2}_{min} = 163.2/170 \text{ d.o.f.})
\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta m^2 {\in} \ 1.6 \sim 3.9 \times 10^{-3} eV^2 \\ \sin^2 2\theta {>} \ {\rm 0.92} & {\rm 90\% \ C.L.} \end{array}$

ICHEP 2002 - Mauger - p.10/1





Threshold for $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \tau = 3.5 \ GeV$ 3 different analyses for τ search

BASIC IDEA

hadronic decays τ heavy - fat events
RESULTS $145 \pm 44(stat.) + 11/ - 16(sys.)$ $99 \pm 39(stat.) + 13/ - 21(sys.)$

Super–Kamiokande is consistent with τ appearance.

 $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$ vs. $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$



 ν_s does not interact with matter (definition) If pure $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ is correct,

- NC events reduced
- Matter effects suppress oscillation at high $E_{\nu_{\mu}}$

$$\mathsf{P}(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{s}) = \frac{\sin^{2} 2\theta_{v}}{R} \times \sin^{2}(\pi \frac{L\Delta m^{2}}{\frac{4\pi E_{\nu}}{\sqrt{R}}}), \text{ where}$$
$$R = (\mp \frac{\sqrt{2}G_{F}N_{n}E_{\nu}}{\Delta m^{2}} - \cos 2\theta)^{2} + \sin^{2} 2\theta$$

Look beyond single rings to get directional NC sample. Measure up-down asymmetry (systematic error cancellation).

- Multi-Ring NC enhanced sample ($\sim 30\%$ NC)
- PC sample $Evis > 5 \ GeV \rightarrow E_{\nu} \sim 20 \ GeV$
- Through- μ sample $E_{\nu} \sim 100 \; GeV$

 $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s} \text{ vs. } \nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$



NC enhanced



When combined with single ring oscillation result, pure $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$ is ruled out at the 99% C.L.

ICHEP 2002 - Mauger - p.13/1



Analysis follows Fogli, Lisi, Marrone (PRD63) (2001) 053008 Assume 3 active + 1 sterile neutrino such that $\delta m^2(solar) \ll \Delta m^2(atm) \ll$ $M^2(LSND) \Rightarrow$ simply to 3 quantities: Δm^2 , $\sin^2 2\theta$, $\sin^2 \xi$ $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \cos\xi \ \nu_{\tau} + \sin\xi \ \nu_s$ $\sin^2 \xi = 0 \Rightarrow$ pure $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ $\sin^2 \xi = 1 \Rightarrow$ pure $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_s$







Recent results from K2K have made possible $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$ studies using the NC π^{0} sample.

- define double ratio: $R_{\pi^0} \equiv \frac{(\pi^0/\mu)_{data}}{(\pi^0/\mu)_{MC}}$
- for each oscillation scenario, make predictions
- compare data with the predictions

$$\begin{array}{l} R_{\pi^0} = 1.49 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.22 \\ \nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau} \text{ prediction is } 1.34 \\ \nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s} \text{ prediction is } 1.12 \end{array}$$

SK R_{π^0} more consistent with $u_\mu \leftrightarrow
u_{ au}$.



Assumptions:

Fit to three parameters: $\Delta m_{23}^2, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$ $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) = \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \times \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \times \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E}).$ $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \cos^4 2\theta_{13} \times \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \times \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E}).$ $P(\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e) = \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \times \cos^2 2\theta_{23} \times \sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E}).$

For $E_{\nu} > 3 \ GeV$, matter effect can enhance oscillations (θ_{13})

ICHEP 2002 - Mauger - p.16/1









$\, \bullet \, \nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$

- SK data consistent with each other
- Best Fit $\Delta m^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$, $\sin^2 2\theta = 1.0$ $\Delta m^2 \in 1.6 \sim 3.9 \times 10^{-3} eV^2 \sin^2 2\theta > 0.92$ 90% C.L.
- Search for ν_{τ} consistent with τ appearance

9
$$u_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$$
 VS. $u_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$

- NC and CC μ zenith angles: pure $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$ disfavored 99% C.L.
- $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{s}$ admixture $\sin^{2} \xi < 0.19$ 90% C.L.
- NC-rate (R_{π^0}) pure $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ disfavored 90% C.L.
- Three Active Flavor Oscillations
 - Consistent with maximal $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$
 - Small θ_{13} allowed consistent with CHOOZ, Palo Verde





If CPT violated $\delta = \Delta m_{\nu}^2 - \Delta m_{\bar{\nu}}^2 \neq 0$ Assume $\sin^2 2\theta = 1$ for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos Best fit($\nu, \bar{\nu}$): $\delta = (2.8, 1.9) \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ Consistent with 0 CPT asymmetry (-0.0075 < δ < 0.0055 eV^2)

ICHEP 2002 - Mauger - p.19/1





Consider $\Delta m^2 \rightarrow 0$ case $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) = (\cos^2 \theta + (\sin^2 \theta \times \exp(-\frac{m}{2\tau}\frac{L}{E})))^2$ FC 1-ring+PC+up- μ fit well NC enhanced sample does not fit well